Duane Morris

RECEIVED

OENTRAL FAX CENTER

DUANE MORRIS LLP
ONE MARKET, SPEAR TOWER, SUITE 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-1104
PHONE: 415.371.2200
FAX: 415.371.2201

SEP 1 4 2004

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

To:

Mail Stop RCE, Examiner Michael A. Brown

FIRM/COMPANY:

Commissioner for Patents

FACSIMILE NUMBER:

(703) 872-9306

CONFIRMATION

TELEPHONE:

FROM:

Ruth Der, Paralegal

DIRECT DIAL:

415.371.2231

DATE:

September 14, 2004

USER NUMBER:

FILE NUMBER:

U.S. Serial No. 09/911,551, Atty. Docket No. R0371-00402

TOTAL # OF PAGES: (INCLUDING COVERSHEET)

8

MESSAGE:

Attached are Request For Continued Examination Under 37 CFR §1.114 and Information Disclosure Statement and accompanying

papers.

Please confirm receipt of this facsimile.

NOTE: Original will not follow

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE REVIEW OF THE PARTY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY TELEPHONE THE SENDER ABOVE TO ARRANGE FOR ITS RETURN, AND IT SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE WAIVER OF THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE.

If there is a problem with this transmission, please call us as soon as possible at 415.371.2200.

SF\63052.1

PAGE 1/8 \* RCVD AT 9/14/2004 5:01:01 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/6 \* DNIS:8729306 \* CSID:4153712201 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-10

**PATENT** 

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of	) Examiner: W. A. Diown	RECEIVED				
Callister et al.	Group Art Unit: 3764	<b>CENTRAL FAX CENTER</b>				
For: OCCLUDING DEVICE AND METHOD OF USE	)	SEP 1 4 2004				
Serial No.: 09/911,551	) REQUEST FOR CO	NTINUED				
Filed: July 23, 2001	) EXAMINATION UNDER	K37 CFR 91.114				
Atty. Docket No.: R0371-00402	)					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/FACSIMILE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.8  I hereby certify that this these papers are being sent by facsimile to (703) 872-9306 addressed to Examiner Michael A. Brown, at Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA-22313-1450, on						
Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450						
Dear Sir:						
This is a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application.						
1. Submission required under 37 C.F.R.	1. Submission required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114					
a. // Previously submitted						
i. /_/ Consider the amendment(s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on(any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be						
entered).						
ii. /_/ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on						
iii. // Other:						
b. /X/ Enclosed						
i. // Amendment/Reply						
ii. /_/ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)						
iii. /X/ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)						
iv. // Other:						

2. Filing Fees

Description	Fee Code	Claims	Extra	Rate	Hee II
THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	2801	C. THE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	4160 11.08 100000		\$385.
RCE Fee		7 2 -	4 x	\$43=	\$172.
Independent Claims	2201	7 - 3 =			\$468.
Total Claims	2202	72 - 20=	52 x	<u>\$9 =</u>	3406.

Total Fees Due ......\$1025.

#### 3. Payment of Fees

/X / The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees and to credit any overpayment of fees which may be required under 37 CFR §1.16 and §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 04-1679, referencing Atty. Docket No. R0371-00402. A duplicate of this sheet t is enclosed for this purpose.

## 4. Address all future communications to:

Edward J. Lynch
DUANE MORRIS LLP
One Market
Spear Tower, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94105

5.	Other	посищения епсилен истемлен.	
	//	Other (Specify:	).
			Respectfully submitted,
	÷		By: Sawand J. Hynch Registration No. 24,422
			Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market Spear Tower, Ste. 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 371-2200 Facsimile: (415) 371-2201 Direct Dial: (415) 371-2267

**PATENT** 

IN THE UNITED	STATES PATE	ENT AND TR	ADEMARK	OFFICE
	11 11 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			

		_c )	Examiner: M. A. Brown	
	application	) )	·	
_	er et al.	. )	Group Art Unit: 3764	
For: C	OCCLUDINO METHOD O	G DEVICE AND ) F USE )		
Serial N	io.: 09/911,	551	REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION UNDER 37 CFR §1.114	
	fuly 23, 200	<b>\</b>	EARIMINITIES.	
Atty. D	ocket No.: 1	R0371-00402	SIMILE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.8	
I at Mail	hereby certify that Stop RCE, Comm	this these papers are being sent by facsim rissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexa By:	in the function of the Examiner Michael A. Brown, in Crancisco, CA. in San Francisco, CA.	
Commi	top RCE issioner for l ox 1450 idria, VA 22			
Dear S		·		
		quest for continued examina on.	tion (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-	
1. <u>Su</u>	bmission re	quired under 37 C.F.R. § 1	<u>114</u>	
a.	/ / Рте	viously submitted	•	
	i. //	Consider the amendment(s)	reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on red amendment(s) referred to above will be	
		entered).	and a Device Property of the August Street	
÷	ii. //	Consider the arguments in t	he Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on	
	iii. //	Other:		
b. /X/ Enclosed				
	i. //	Amendment/Reply	·	
	ii. / /	Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)		
	iii. / <u>X</u> /	Information Disclosure Sta	tement (IDS)	
	iv. //	Other:	COPY	

2. Filing Fees

Fining x vos	To be a self-index of alter specially	20 Marie - America (1991)		Y AD WANT	Tee :
Description	Ree Code	<b>Cianus</b>		Alekson were deliner	\$385.
RCE Fee	2801				
	2201	7 - 3 =	4 x	\$43=	\$172.
Independent Claims	<u> </u>	72 - 20=	52 x	\$9 =	\$468.
Total Claims	2202	12-20			

Total Fees Due ......\$1025.

#### 3. Payment of Fees

/X/ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees and to credit any overpayment of fees which may be required under 37 CFR §1.16 and §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 04-1679, referencing Atty, Docket No. R0371-00402. A duplicate of this sheet t is enclosed for this purpose.

## 4. Address all future communications to:

Edward J. Lynch DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market Spear Tower, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105

5.	Other	documents enclosed herewith:	
		Other (Specify:	).
			Respectfully submitted,
			By: Shand My By: Edward J. Lynch Registration No. 24,422 Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market Spear Tower, Ste. 2000 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 371-2200 Facsimile: (415) 371-2201

Direct Dial: (415) 371-2267

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

In re the application of

Examiner: M. A. Brown

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Callister et al.

Group Art Unit: 3764

SEP 1 4 2004

For: OCCLUDING DEVICE AND METHOD OF USE

Serial No.: 09/911,551

Filed: July 23, 2001

Atty. Docket No.: R0371-00402 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/FACSIMILE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this these papers are being sent by facsimile to (703) 872-9306, addressed to Michael A. Brown, Mail Stop RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22312 1450, on September 14, 2004, in San Francisco, CA.

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir.

The concurrently filed Request for Continued Examination has been filed to enable the Patent Office to consider documents related to litigation involving U.S. Patent Nos. 6,096,052 and 6,423,116, both which relate to the present application. The first lawsuit involved U.S. Patent No. 6,096,052 and was identified as Civil Action No. C 02-1968 MHP in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The second lawsuit involved U.S. Patent Nos. 6,096,052 and 6,423,116 and was identified as Civil Action No. C 02-3884 MHP in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Both patents in suit are assigned to Ovion, Inc. the assignee of the present application.

In the first lawsuit (Civil Action No. C 02-1968 MHP), Conceptus, Inc. filed a Complaint For Declaratory Judgment Of Patent Non-Infringement, Invalidity And Unenforceability (Docket No. 1). Conceptus, Inc. later filed a First Amended Complaint For (1) Declaratory Judgment Of Patent Non-Infringement, Invalidity And Unenforceability; (2) False Advertising Under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(A); (3) Trade Libel; (4) Unfair Competition Under Common Law And Cal. B&P § 17200

Et. Seq.; (5) Unjust Enrichment; and (6) Constructive Trust. The Defendants (Ovion, Inc.) contended that the First Amended Complaint should be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Accordingly, although the Defendants dispute many of the allegations in the First Amended Complaint, the Defendants did not file an Answer.

In the second lawsuit (Civil Action No. C 02-3884 MHP), Ovion, Inc. filed a Complaint For Patent Infringement against Conceptus, Inc. (Docket No. 1). In turn, Conceptus, Inc. filed Conceptus' Answer To Ovion's Complaint, Affirmative Defenses And Counterclaims (Docket No. 11). Subsequently, Conceptus, Inc. filed Conceptus's Amended Answer To Ovion's Complaint, Affirmative Defenses And Counterclaims. Ovion, Inc. then filed Ovion's Reply To Amended Counterclaims Of Conceptus. At the time the litigation settled, Ovion, Inc. had submitted to the judge, with a request for leave to file, a First Amended Complaint For Willful Patent Infringement, Fraud And Misrepresentation, Misappropriation, Unjust Enrichment, Unfair Business Practices, Trade Libel, Slander Of Title, Monopolization, Attempt To Monopolize And Sham Litigation. Similarly, at the time the litigation settled, Conceptus, Inc. had submitted to the judge, with a request for leave to file, Conceptus' Second Amended Answer, Affirmative Defenses And Counterclaims For: (1) Declaratory Judgment Of Non-Infringement; (2) Declaratory Judgment Of Unenforceability; (3) Declaratory Judgment Of Invalidity; (4) Correction Of Inventorship Of '052 Patent Under 35 U.S.C. § 256; (5) Correction Of Inventorship Of '116 Patent Under 35 U.S.C. § 256; (6) Fraud And Deceit; (7) Trade Libel; (8) Slander Per Se; (9) Libel; (10) Unfair Competition; And, (11) Slander Of Title.

Both lawsuits were dismissed with prejudice pursuant to a settlement agreement between Ovion, Inc. and Conceptus

The applicants are presently collecting documents for submission pursuant to the provisions of MPEP 2001.06(c). Applicants will submit collected documents in due course in a good faith attempt to provide the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office with sufficient information to

evaluate the issues and whether to ask for additional information or material. If the Office desires additional information or material, the applicants will provide it to the extent possible.

As will be evident from the documents submitted, the parties disputed, among other things, patent infringement, validity, enforceability, and inventorship. The parties also disputed construction of patent claims. However, Both lawsults were dismissed with prejudice by stipulation pursuant to the settlement agreement, so the court did not make a final determination as to the merits of the allegations and contentions of any of the parties.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees and to credit any overpayment of fees which may be required under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 or §1.17, to Deposit Account No 04-1679, referencing <a href="https://doi.org/10.1004/1679">Atty. Docket No. R0371-00402</a>.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward J. Lynch

Registration No. 24,422 Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP One Market Spear Tower, Ste. 2000 San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 371-2200

Facsimile: (415) 371-2201 Direct Dial: (415) 371-2267

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER;

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.